



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/611,319

07/01/2003

Peter Schlaak

6741.P006

4019

45062

7590

07/10/2008

SAP/BSTZ

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY

SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER

ADE, OGER GARCIA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3687

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/10/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

Ex parte: PETER SCHLAAK, MICHAEL KRENBAUER,  
MARTIN PREISS AND EDGAR WICHT

---

Application No. 10/611,319  
Date Mailed: July 9, 2008

---

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

---

This application was received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on March 28, 2008. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

APPEAL BRIEF

Claims Appendix

Appellant filed an Appeal Brief dated June 22, 2007 in response to a Final Rejection mailed January 19, 2007. A review of the file reveals that claim 19 in the appendix of the Appeal Brief is not consistent as amended in the Amendment filed on October 24, 2006. The Appeal Brief of claim 19 (page 18) reads: “The machine-readable medium of claim 10...” However, the Amendment dated October 24, 2006 claim 19 (page 4) reads: “The machine-readable medium of claim 11...” Appropriate correction is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

1) hold the Appeal Brief filed June 22, 2007 defective, as required by 37 CFR § 41.37(d);

2) notify the Appellant to submit a “paper” which corrects the Appeal Brief’s Claims

Appendix under 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(viii);

3) acknowledge and consider any “paper” submitted by Appellant to correct the Appeal Brief; and

4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By: /Krista Zele/  
Krista Zele  
Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator  
(571) 272-9797

KZ/tsj

cc: SAP/BLAKELY  
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY  
SUNNYVALE CA 94085-4040